

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/081,565	PASTERNAK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2827	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Being allowed

 (1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel.

(3) _____.

 (2) Kieun "Jenny" Sung (Reg. 48,639).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 January 2004
Time: -

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

35-72

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SEE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Called the applicant's representative to amend the claims to place the application in condition for allowance

- (a) to amend claim 35, to positively recite the electrical connection of base with the component,
- (b) to amend claim 46 to add the specifics for the base material as claimed in claim 35,
- (c) to amend claims 42-44, 52, 69, 70 and 71 to remove the discrepancy in claiming solder mask layer instead of tin layer.
- (d) to amend figure 2.

Applicant's representative gave permission to carryout the changes by examiner's amendment. .